

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,503	02/07/2006	Enea Garagnani	MI 6125 (US) 8964	
BASELL USA INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY	EXAMINER CHOI, LING SIU		
912 APPLETO ELKTON, MD	- · -		. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713 .	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)
	10/567,503		GARAGNANI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit
	Ling-Siu Ch	oi	1713
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			orrespondence address
Period for Reply	•		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no even will apply and will to cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. Communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ap	<u>pril 2007</u> .		•
,	action is no		
Since this application is in condition for allowar			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 8-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 8-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from con		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		-	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been ts have been rity documer u (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati nts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Office Action is in response to the Response and Amendment file April 19, 2007. Claims 1-9 were canceled and claims 8-14 are now pending. In view of the Response and Amendment, claim objection and claim rejection under 35 USC '112 were withdrawn. The rejections of claims 8-11 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DeNicola, Jr. et al. (US 5,286,791); the rejections of claim 12 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeNicola, Jr. et al. (US 5,286,791); and the rejections of claim 14 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeNicola, Jr. et al. (US 5,286,791) in view of Herman (US 5,174,384) are maintained.

Claim Analysis

2. Summary of Claim 8:

A p	A polyolefin composition comprising				
Α	60-85 wt%	a broad molecular weight distribution propylene polymer having			
		a polydispersity index = 5-15			
		a melt flow rate =20-78 g/10 min [ASTM-D 1238, condition L]			
В	15-40 wt%	a partially xylene soluble olefin polymer rubber comprising			
		at least 65 wt% of ethylene			

Art Unit: 1713

Summary of Claim 12:

A process to prepare the polyolefin composition of Claim 8, wherein the monomers are polymerized in the presence of stereospecific catalyst supported on active magnesium halide in active form in at least three sequential steps wherein components A and B are prepared in separate subsequent steps, operating in each step in the presence of the polymer formed and the catalyst used in the immediately preceding step

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 8-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DeNicola, Jr. et al. (US 5,286,791).

DeNicola, Jr. et al. disclose an impact-modified graft copolymer composition to be used in an inject molding to make an article, comprising (A) 10-90 wt% of graft copolymer, (B) 90-10 wt% of at least one propylene polymer having a wide molecular weight distribution, and (C) 2-40 wt% of at least one rubber component, wherein the component B has a molecular weight distribution of 8-60 and a melt

Art Unit: 1713

flow rate of 0.5-50 g/10 min; the <u>component C</u> can be an ethylene-<u>propylene</u> copolymer rubber having 30-70% ethylene content (abstract; col. 4, lines 25-31; col. 7, lines 33, 46-48, and 62-63; Examples 1-5-especially line 9; claims 1 and 4). DeNicola, Jr. also disclose that the composition further comprises about 10-100 pph of a filler which can be talc, a calcium carbonate, and silicate (col. 3, lines 25-32; col. 10, lines 36-43). It is noted that the ethylene-propylene copolymer rubber reads on the partially xylene soluble olefin polymer rubber. Thus, the present claims are anticipated by the disclosure of DeNicola et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeNicola, Jr. et al. (US 5,286,791).

The rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph 9 of the Office Action dated 11/02/2006 and is incorporated herein by reference.

7. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Art Unit: 1713

DeNicola, Jr. et al. (US 5,286,791) in view of Herman (US 5,174,384).

The rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph 10 of the Office Action dated 11/02/06 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Response to the Applicants' Arguments

8. Applicants' arguments filed **04/19/2007** have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

"applicant respectfully believes the very broad, generic disclosure of the propylene copolymer material in DeNicola, Jr., et al. clearly does not anticipate Applicant's specifically claimed component (A), let alone the specifically claimed range of 60 to 85% by weight of component (A)" (paragraph bridging pages 6 and 7).

The component claimed in the present claims is referred to the component B instead of component A, wherein component B is propylene polymer having a wide molecular weight distribution (90-10 wt%) and has a molecular weight distribution of 8-60 and a melt flow rate of 0.5-50 g/10 min. Thus, the claim rejections are maintained.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 6

Art Unit: 1713

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ling-Siu Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-1098.

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on 571-272-1114.

LING-SUI CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER

July 7, 2007